Regional Economic Integration in Asia-Pacific: Is an FTAAP the Optimum Shape?

10-12 October, 2010

Sangkyom Kim
Korea Institute for International Economic Policy



Contents

- 1. Characteristics of FTAs/RTAs in AP
- 2. Conditions for Desirable RTA
- 3. Is an FTAAP Desirable RTA?
- 4. Policy Implications
- 5. Policy Options

	Unilateral	Bilateral		Pluri	Under	
		Inter-Regional	Intra-Regional	Inter-Regional	Intra-Regional	Consideration
\mathbb{R}	Various trade liberalization measures taken by Individual Economies APEC Individual Action Plans (IAPs) Unilateral use of force	IMPLEMENTED Australia-PNG(1977) Australia-New Zealand(1983) Chile-Canada(1996) Mexico-Chile(1998) Singapore-Japan(2002) China-Hong Kong(2004) Chile-US(2004) Singapore-Korea(2006) Japan-Malaysia(2006) Japan-Malaysia(2008) Japan-Indonesia(2008) Japan-Brunei(2008) Japan-Philippines(2008) China-Singapore(2009) US-Peru(2009) Chile-Peru(2009) Canada-Peru(2009) Japan-Vietnam(2009) UNDER NEGO Korea-Japan	IMPLEMENTED Singapore-New Zealand(2001) Singapore-Australia(2003) Chile-Korea(2004) US-Australia(2005) Chile-China(2005) Singapore-US(2005) Malaysia-Australia(2005) Mexico-Japan(2005) Thailand-New Zealand(2005) Thailand-Australia(2005) Japan-Chile(2007) China-New Zealand(2008) Australia-Chile(2009) Singapore-Peru(2009) Peru-China(2010) SIGNED Thailand-Peru (2005) Korea-US (2007) Hong Kong-New Zealand (2010) Korea-Peru (2010) Korea-Peru (2010) UNDER NEGO Singapore-Mexico Canada-Singapore Thailand-US Australia-Malaysia China-Australia Korea-Malaysia Korea-Canada Korea-Malaysia Korea-Canada Korea-Malaysia China-Australia Japan-Peru New Zealand-Kore Australia-Korea	IMPLEMENTED AFTA (1993) ASEAN-China(2005) ASEAN-Korea(2007) ASEAN-Japan(2008) NAFTA(1994)	IMPLEMENTED P4(2006) Australia-ASEAN- New Zealand (2010) UNDER NEGO TPP	Bilateral and Intra-Regional China-Thailand ASEAN-US Bilateral and Inter-Regional Thailand-Chile Indonesia- Australia Plurilateral and Intra- Regional ASEAN+6 ASEAN+Chinese Taipei Plurilateral and Inter- Regional FTAAP ASEAN-USA ASEAN+3 ASEAN+6

Characteristics of RTAs/FTAs in Asia-Pacific

 Currently, 40 RTAs have been implemented, 5 RTAs have been signed, and more than two dozen RTAs are being negotiated or considered by APEC economies.

Fact 1

- After East Asian financial crisis in 1997, the Northeast Asian members have changed their policy stance from favoring a global approach to favoring a regional approach
- 35 RTAs have been implemented after the crisis.

Fact 2

• Most of RTAs in the Asia-Pacific region have taken a form of bilateral negotiation similar to the world-wide trend of seeking a lower and easier negotiation cost even though the gains from the freer trade are limited

Fact 3

• No distinction between intra- and inter-regional partnerships

Fact 4

• Most of sub-regional RTAs within APEC have been making a complicated web of hub-and-spoke type of overlapping RTAs which may cause a spaghetti bowl phenomenon.

Conditions for Desirable RTA

- Significant economic gains for sustainable growth and co-prosperity
 - · Produce trade creation while minimizing diversion
- Potential to consolidate into larger-scale RTAs
 - · Stepping stone towards global free trade

FTAP should create a significant and sufficient positive trade creation effect

Condition 1

• The consolidated market size (40% of the world population and 53% of the world GDP) is large enough to create a positive trade creation effect

Condition 2

• The strong interdependence among APEC member economies in terms of intraregional trade share of over 65% is the most promising factor in expecting a large trade creation effect

Condition 3

- Pre-union industrial structure of the potential members is competitive and may expect significant efficiency gains from the regional free trade.
- The simple averaged complementarity index of APEC is 53.7, a figure not excessively high or low.

Is an FTAAP Desirable RTA?

Empirical analysis found that an FTAAP is economically beneficial for all participating economies.

(Unit: US\$ Billion, %)

Economy	Unit	Static Model			Capital Accumulation Model	
		Scenario 1 (TR)	Scenario 2 (1+Service)	Scenario 3 (2+TF)	Scenario 3	
Members	Welfare	55	75	285	636	
Wiembers	Real GDP	0.13	0.36	1.13	3.08	
EU	Welfare	-14	-16	-22	-10	
EU	Real GDP	-0.04	-0.04	-0.08	-0.11	
Rest of	Welfare	-19	-19	-17	-19	
Types of Rules of Origin		Trade C	Trade Creation Effects		Trade Diversion Effects	
Bilateral (Cumulation	0.9		-9.0		
Diagonal (Cumulation	16.0			-16.0	
Full Cu	mulation	35.8			-3.1	

Policy Implications

An optimum FTAAP calls for a high-quality and comprehensive free trade agreement for the participating economies to enjoy the leveraged economic gains.

- Target high quality and comprehensive FTA
 - Apply tariff elimination in goods trade under the legal provision of *GATT Article XXIV comprehensively*
 - Strengthen Trade Facilitation
 - Liberalize Trade in Services
- Simplify Rules of Origin to Full Cumulation, where possible
- Strengthen continued effort to promote REI regardless of FTAAP progress

Policy Implications

Building on **strong political commitment** and FTAAP needs to embrace following conditions to become a desirable RTA conducive to promoting global free trade.

- 1. The capacity to respond to the challenges and changes facing the region
- 2. Inclusiveness of interests and agenda
- 3. The capacity for harmonizing the roles of other groups in the region
- 4. Should involve the pursuit of multilateralism and consistency with the WTO.

Policy Implications

In addition to the economic effects of FTAAP, policy makers need to carefully examine the feasibility of FTAAP at various perspectives.

- 1. Readiness Is each economy ready for FTAAP in terms of "capacity building"?
- 2. Awareness Is each economy aware of the importance of FTAAP and the impact it will have
- 3. Willingness Is each economy willing to form FTAAP or do they prefer other forming?
- 4. Challenges Is there any diagnose and prescription on both domestically or APEC-wide wide obstacles?

Policy Options

Utilize Regional Cooperation Mechanisms as platform to Institutionalize Regional Integration

- 1. If economic integrations being pursued by sub-regional groups are successfully accomplished, there is room to expand them to a bigger integration, which is 'FTAAP' in the future.
- 2. Sub-regional groups, such as the APT, EAS and PPT will not only serve the members but also for non member
- 3. Forming an East Asian Community should not be viewed as a move against the Asia-Pacific Community

Policy Options

APEC's dynamism including its non-binding principle is subject to be taken advantage of, not to be abandoned.

- 1. Endeavour to utilize pathfinder initiative: 21- X, Sector/Area Specific Approach
- 2. Take full advantage of current initiatives on REI, Growth Strategy and etc
- 3. Promote Further Structural Reform
- 4. Introduce Peer Review mechanism for intra-FTAs/RTAs

Road map to FTAAP: Modality and Tool

	Maintain APEC's Principle	Transform APEC into a Negotiating Body
Modality	 Expansion of Existing FTAs/RTAs by voluntary basis Utilize RCM as platform (APT, EAS, TPP etc.) Path-Finder Approach 21-x approach Sector/Area specific approach 	 APEC initiates FTAAP negotiations Agree modality Differential treatment (both timeframe and scope) among developing and developed economies APEC leads negotiations to harmonize RTAs/FTAs
Policy Tools	 Develop and implement APEC REI and Growth Strategy Encourage members to engage in high-quality FTAs/RTAs Promoting Further Structural Reform Conduct Joint Studies Introduce Peer Review mechanism for intra-FTAs/RTAs 	- EU style 'Asia pacific Economic Community'

Thank you very much.